Comparative molecular evolution and phylogenetic utility of 3’-UTRs and introns in Galliformes
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Abstract

Many phylogenetic studies have used nuclear introns or coding exons, but few have included untranslated regions (UTRs). Here we compare the phylogenetic utility and patterns of molecular evolution for 3’-UTRs and introns from five unlinked loci in Galliformes (Aves). 3’-UTRs evolved at slower rates and exhibited greater spatial clustering of sites with similar evolutionary rates than associated introns, though they exhibited similar overall model complexities. Base compositions differed between the 3’-UTR and associated intron for two of five loci, suggesting that base composition was not exclusively driven by isochore structure. Phylogenies estimated using individual and concatenated 3’-UTRs were more similar to an independent reference tree than those based upon introns, though all phylogenies were largely congruent. However, some 3’-UTRs were difficult to amplify, potentially limiting their use in phylogenetic studies. This study suggests that 3’-UTRs and introns can exhibit distinct patterns of molecular evolution and that they provide useful phylogenetic signal.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the past several decades taxonomy and systematics have seen an explosive growth in the availability of molecular data (reviewed by Delsuc et al. (2005)). For vertebrates, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) has been an extremely popular phylogenetic tool due to its high evolutionary rate and rapid coalescence, which leads to excellent power (Braun and Kimball, 2001) and a high probability of matching the species tree (Moore, 1995). Additionally, sets of reliable mtDNA PCR primers are available (e.g., Sorenson et al., 1999). However, mtDNA provides a single gene tree that may differ from the species tree (Maddison, 1997) due to either lineage sorting (though the rapid coalescence of mtDNA will minimize this) or past hybridization. Furthermore, mtDNA can also show biased patterns of evolution (Braun and Kimball, 2002; Delsuc et al., 2003; Phillips and Penny, 2003). Finally, the length of the mitochondrial genome limits the amount of mtDNA data that can be obtained.

Nuclear sequence data have proved useful for phylogenetic analyses in vertebrates (e.g., Matthee et al., 2007; Hackett et al., 2008; Harshman et al., 2003; Wiens et al., 2008) with most studies focusing on coding regions or introns rather than intergenic regions or non-coding RNAs. Introns evolve more rapidly than coding exons, leading to a higher probability of accumulating substitutions that unite groups of interest (Chojnowski et al., 2008), though a high rate of evolution may increase homoplasy (e.g., Yang, 1998). Introns can also be amplified using primers anchored in conserved exon regions (Palumbi and Baker, 1994) and sets of vetted primers similar to those available for mtDNA are now available for some groups (e.g., Kimball et al., 2009). However, introns exhibit length variation that makes them more difficult to align than coding regions (Hackett et al., 2008; Harshman et al., 2008; Pratt et al., 2009). Thus, the ideal type(s) of data for vertebrate systematics remains unclear.

One type of region that has not been as extensively examined in phylogenetic studies is the untranslated regions (UTRs) of messenger RNAs (mRNAs). UTRs have some functional regions, such as those necessary for regulation of translation (e.g., Mazumder et al., 2003) and microRNA interaction (e.g., Xie et al., 2005). UTRs are enriched for putative regulatory regions called pyknons (Rigoutsos et al., 2006). Although there are functional regions within UTRs, they are non-coding and so should exhibit less overall functional constraint than coding exons. Consistent with this, UTRs may be easier to align than introns (Harshman et al., 2003) but evolve at higher rates than coding exons (e.g., Murphy et al., 2001; Cooper et al., 2003). These features suggest that UTRs may be very useful for phylogenetics. Indeed, UTRs that have been examined for phylogenetics evolve more slowly than introns (Harshman et al., 2003; Whittall et al., 2006; Kimball et al.,...
we only included members of this subfamily. Given the support for this close relationship between the turkey (Meleagris gallopavo) and the remaining galliforms based upon the characteristics of 3’-UTR evolution, explored the ability of 3’-UTRs to resolve phylogenetic questions, and compared these results to introns at the same locus.

2. Methods

2.1. Amplification, sequencing, and alignment

Twenty-three galliform species used in previous studies (e.g., Kimball et al., 1999; Armstrong et al., 2001; Cox et al., 2007; Kimball and Braun, 2008) were selected, including representatives from all families and major lineages (Appendix A: Table S1). Although grouse and ptarmigan have been placed into their own family (Tetraonidae) in some treatments, they are currently considered a subfamily (Tetraoninae) of the Phasianidae (AOU, 1998) and multigene studies (Kimball and Braun, 2008) strongly support a close relationship between M. gallopavo (the turkey) and members of this subfamily. Given the support for this close relationship we only included Meleagris in this study. Five nuclear loci that are unlinked in the chicken genome were targeted for amplification (Appendix A: Table S2). Primers for 3’-UTR amplification (Appendix A: Table S3) were designed based upon alignments of chicken mRNAs with assembled American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) expressed sequence tags (ESTs; from Chojnowski et al. (2007)), and/or with mammalian mRNAs. In some cases, we used preliminary sequences to design additional primers. Primers for introns are described above with the loci included in Kimball and Braun (2008), which used two mitochondrial regions and four unlinked nuclear introns for a total of 5533 bp. Since two species in this study were absent from the Kimball and Braun (2008) dataset, which used two mitochondrial regions and four unlinked nuclear introns for a total of 5533 bp, their sequences were aligned across taxa using ClustalX (Thompson et al., 1997) and the resulting alignment was optimized by eye in MacClade 4.0 (Maddison and Maddison, 2000). Unpublished sequences used in this study have been deposited in GenBank (Accession Nos. FJ881696–FJ881859).

2.2. Molecular evolution and phylogenetic analyses

We determined best-fit models of evolution for all regions (single 3’-UTRs and introns, as well as concatenated datasets) using Modeltest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall, 1998). Parameter estimates were those determined by Modeltest, except for transition/transversion (ti/tv) ratios that were calculated using Eq. (1) in Kumar (1996). Since the sequenced regions of EF2 and HMGN2 contained two introns, model parameters and base composition were assessed independently for each intron.

We used a sliding window analysis to assess patterns of spatial variation across each 3’-UTR and intron and to identify highly conserved regions. Maximum likelihood (ML) estimates of the rates of evolution for each site in the alignments were estimated using PAML (Yang, 1997). Since rates at each site are drawn from a Γ distribution with a mean of one, sites that evolved at the mean rate had a rate of one. The sliding windows used to calculate rates were 20 bp wide with a 10 bp overlap. The standard deviation of these windows was used as a metric of spatial variation in evolutionary rate, with low values indicating similar rates of evolution among windows along the length of the region and high values indicating high heterogeneity in the evolutionary rates among windows. To determine whether the standard deviation was greater than expected (i.e., whether there was more spatial heterogeneity in evolutionary rates than expected), we repeated the analysis for 100 distinct permutations of the sites to establish an expected value for each region.

The ML tree was estimated using PAUP* (version 4.0b10; Swoford, 2003), with a heuristic search using 10 random addition sequence replicates and the model and parameters estimated from Modeltest. ML analyses were performed on the concatenated dataset as well as each individual partition. Since the goal was to obtain estimates of the phylogeny for each data type and locus, we combined the two HMGN2 introns and the two EF2 introns into a single partition (excluding the short, intervening exon) for the phylogenetic comparisons. ML bootstrap analysis was performed with 100 replicates using GARLI (Zwickl, 2006) using the concatenated intron plus 3’-UTR dataset as well as using an intron-only and 3’-UTR-only dataset. The tree was rooted between the megapodes (Megapodiidae) and the remaining galliforms based upon the robust support for this position of the root provided by recent studies (Crowe et al., 2006; Hackett et al., 2008).

To compare trees generated using ML searches of the different data partitions, we used Robinson and Foulds (1981) distances (RF distances) from a reference phylogeny. To obtain the reference phylogeny, we used an ML search and appropriate models as described above with the loci included in Kimball and Braun (2008), which used two mitochondrial regions and four unlinked nuclear introns for a total of 5533 bp. Since two species in this study were absent from the Kimball and Braun (2008) dataset, we collected sequence data for the Kimball and Braun (2008) loci for the additional taxa, so the reference tree contained all species included in this study. Since the PCBD1 gene was included in both this study and Kimball and Braun (2008), we excluded this locus of Kimball and Braun (2008) in generating the reference tree, so we obtained a reference tree that was independent of the 3’-UTR and intron data presented here (this independent reference tree was actually topologically identical to one that included PCBD1 along with other loci from Kimball and Braun (2008)). To estimate homoplasy, we calculated the retention index for each partition using the reference tree. Evolutionary rates for each partition were determined by estimating branch lengths using the reference tree and
the best model for each partition, then summing branch lengths and normalizing to the length of a total evidence tree for the same taxa. For partitions with missing taxa, the appropriate branch lengths were subtracted from the length of the total evidence tree so data was comparable across partitions.

3. Results

3.1. Patterns of molecular evolution

The final alignments contained 6702 bp from the five unlinked loci, with 3109 bp of UTR data and 3593 of intron data (coding DNA was excluded from these calculations and all analyses). The lengths of the 3’-UTR alignments ranged from 398 bp (ALDOB) to 780 bp (HMGN2), while the intron alignments ranged from 510 bp (ALDOB) to 1166 bp (CRYAA). We identified two microversions (short inverted segments relative to the remaining taxa) and excluded them from phylogenetic analyses. We found it difficult to reliably amplify the EEF2 3’-UTR region, even after primers were redesigned based on initial sequences, and we obtained sequence data from only 16 taxa successfully. Since key lineages were not represented, we excluded the EEF2 locus from phylogenetic analyses. While 25% of the dataset exhibited length heterozygosity that required cloning, about half of these samples were from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>ω (Γ distribution)</th>
<th>GC (%)</th>
<th>ti/tv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTR Intron</td>
<td>UTR Intron</td>
<td>UTR Intron</td>
<td>Intron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALDOB</td>
<td>TVM + Γ</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYAA</td>
<td>TVM + Γ</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBD1</td>
<td>GTR + Γ</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMGN2</td>
<td>GTR + Γ</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.45/1.21</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEF2</td>
<td>TVM + Γ</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.15/0.80</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Comparison of the 3’-UTR and intron data for each locus. Best-fit models, shape parameter (ω) of the gamma distribution, empirical %GC, and transition/transversion ratios are presented. Values for the two introns in HMGN2 and EEF2 are both presented, with the value from the first intron above that of the second.

Fig. 1. Sliding window analysis of the evolutionary rates of the CRYAA 3’-UTR (a) and the CRYAA intron (b).
using a moderate site-to-site rate heterogeneity that could be modeled (TVMef + Γ, five parameters). Thus, 3’-UTRs did not differ from introns in average model complexity (Table 1). All loci exhibited moderate site-to-site rate heterogeneity that could be modeled using a Γ distribution (in no case did the best model include invariant sites as well as a Γ distribution). The shape parameters of the Γ distribution were universally lower for the 3’-UTRs than the associated introns, indicating greater among-sites rate heterogeneity in 3’-UTRs.

The loci varied in base composition, though most partitions were AT-biased (Table 1). For three loci, the base composition of the 3’-UTRs and introns were similar. However, the CRYAA 3’-UTR and intron sequences differed by nearly 10% GC content and the 3’-UTR and introns of the HMGN2 locus differed by up to 8%. In the former case the 3’-UTR had greater %GC, while in the latter case the introns had greater %GC. The maximum ti/tv ratio differences were found at the CRYAA locus: the CRYAA 3’-UTR exhibited a ratio of 3.6 whereas the corresponding intron exhibited a ratio of 2.0. For other loci, estimates of the ti/tv ratio were similar.

If the 3’-UTRs contained regulatory elements, we would expect them to exhibit specific regions of high conservation. We quantified the relative clustering of slowly evolving and more rapidly evolving sites by examining the standard deviation of evolutionary rates in a sliding window analysis (Fig. 1). All 3’-UTRs showed greater spatial clustering of rates than expected by chance (Table 2). The 3’-UTRs also showed greater spatial clustering of evolutionary rates than the introns at all loci (particularly for the HMGN2 and CRYAA loci). We would expect this if 3’-UTRs have regions subjected to greater constraints than introns. Two introns, CRYAA and one of the two HMGN2 introns, also showed greater spatial clustering of rates than expected by chance, although these introns still exhibited less spatial clustering of sites with specific rates than their associated 3’-UTRs.

3.2. Phylogenetic reconstruction

The phylogeny obtained from the combined intron and 3’-UTR dataset (Fig. 2) was largely similar to published galliform phylogenies (e.g., Crowe et al., 2006; Cox et al., 2007; Kimball and Braun, 2008). Most nodes found in the combined tree were also present in the 3’-UTR-only and intron-only phylogenies (Fig. 2); the 3’-UTR data appeared to perform well, with fewer conflicting nodes than the intron-only phylogeny. Although the 3’-UTR data appeared to support many of the same nodes (Table 2), bootstrap support for some of the more basal nodes was lower than in the combined or intron phylogenies.

The nodes with the lowest bootstrap support (and greatest conflict) values had short internodes (Fig. 3). Rates of evolution of specific taxa estimated using the 3’-UTR sequences (Fig. 3) were similar to those obtained using the intron-only data (not shown). Both types of data suggested that there has been an overall accelerated rate of evolution in the families Phasianidae and Odontophoridae relative to Megapodiidae, Cracidae, and Numidae. Likewise, taxa within these groups were characterized by specific rates (e.g., Coturnix japonica is a relatively long branch) and these rate differences were consistent between the 3’-UTR and intron partitions.

We used tree distances to quantitatively examine differences among the 3’-UTR, intron and combined phylogenies. We calculated RF distances by comparing the trees generated in this study with a reference tree for the same taxa. The RF distances (Table 3) revealed that the 3’-UTR tree had fewer nodes that were incongruent with the reference tree than the intron tree. This was true when all 3’-UTRs were combined as well as for analyses of individual 3’-UTRs.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Permutation maximum</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>Introne</td>
<td>UTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALDOB</td>
<td>0.042*</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYAA</td>
<td>0.216*</td>
<td>0.093*</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBD1</td>
<td>0.154*</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMGN2</td>
<td>0.126/0.174*</td>
<td>0.294*</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEF2</td>
<td>0.443*</td>
<td>0.106/0.247*</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates the standard deviation from ML bootstrap analysis of the UTR data only; and the lower value is from ML bootstrap analysis of the intron data. - - - indicates nodes with less than 50% bootstrap support; - - - - indicates nodes conflicting with this topology that have at least 50% bootstrap support.

Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood estimate of phylogeny of the combined UTR and intron data. At each node, the top value is the ML bootstrap value from the combined data; the middle value is from ML bootstrap analysis of the UTR data only; and the lower value is from ML bootstrap analysis of the intron data. - - - indicates nodes with less than 50% bootstrap support; - - - - indicates nodes conflicting with this topology that have at least 50% bootstrap support.
Higher rates of evolution should result in greater numbers of variable sites, and hence a greater power to resolve phylogenies (Braun and Kimball, 2001). Although sequences exhibiting higher rates of evolution have the potential to be misleading (see Yang, 1998), the simulations conducted by Chojnowski et al. (2008) suggest that sequences evolving at a typical intronic rate are not problematic within birds. The 3'-UTRs we examined did evolve at a lower rate than the introns in the same locus (Table 3), with HMGN2 being the slowest. This is consistent with the observation that only the HMGN2 3'-UTR showed significant similarity to the human genome in BLASTn (Altschul et al., 1997) searches when the chicken 3'-UTR sequence was used as a query. Despite our expectation that the more slowly evolving 3'-UTRs would exhibit lower power that introns, the 3'-UTR tree showed higher congruence with the reference tree than the intron tree. Only for HMGN2 did the intron partition perform better than the 3'-UTR, possibly due to the very low rate of evolution of this 3'-UTR that may have limited its power to resolve phylogenies. We expect high rates of

![Fig. 3. Maximum likelihood phylogram based upon the 3'-UTR data.](image-url)

**Table 3**

RF distances to the reference tree, retention indices given the reference tree topology, and evolutionary rates of different partitions. When two maximum likelihood trees were found, the RF distance is an average of the two trees. The two introns in HMGN2 were analyzed together.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>RF distance to reference tree</th>
<th>Retention index</th>
<th>Evolutionary rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3'-UTR</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>3'-UTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-UTR + Intron</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>3'-UTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All four loci</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ALDOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYAA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>CRVAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBD1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>HMGN2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
evolution to increase homoplasy, potentially explaining the improved performance of the 3′-UTRs relative to the introns. However, the retention index was very similar between 3′-UTRs and introns (Table 3), suggesting similar levels of homoplasy in both types of data.

4. Discussion

The analysis of nuclear sequence data is advantageous because it allows collection of data from multiple unlinked loci, which is important to obtain an estimate of a species tree rather than an individual gene tree (Maddison, 1997). Within birds, many studies have used introns (e.g., Fain and Houde, 2004; Hackett et al., 2008) or nuclear coding regions (e.g., Groth and Barrowclough, 1999; Barker et al., 2004). A few avian studies have included 3′-UTRs (Chubb, 2004; Hackett et al., 2008; Harshman et al., 2008), but these studies did not explicitly compare the patterns of 3′-UTR molecular evolution to introns or explore the relative phylogenetic utility of 3′-UTRs and introns. In mammals, 3′-UTRs exhibit less homoplasy than coding exons (Murphy et al., 2001); in crocodilians the 3′-UTR of a single locus (MYC) exhibited less homoplasy than either the intron or a coding exon (Harshman et al., 2003). Both of these studies suggest that 3′-UTRs may hold great potential for limiting the effect of homoplasy in phylogenetic studies.

There are consistent differences between 3′-UTRs and introns with respect to the among-sites rate heterogeneity, since the shape parameter of the Γ distribution was always lower for the 3′-UTRs. This result is consistent with the existence of a larger number of sites subject to constraint in the 3′-UTRs. Likewise, the standard deviation of the window rate averages was consistently higher for the 3′-UTRs, indicating that there is spatial clustering of sites with similar evolutionary rates as we would expect if there are functional segments within the 3′-UTRs. In contrast, the introns have a more even distribution of changes across sites with lower levels of spatial clustering than occurs in the 3′-UTRs.

In the absence of functional constraints, both 3′-UTRs and introns should exhibit similar patterns of molecular evolution within a locus. The isochore structure typical of archosaurs would be expected to result in similar base compositions within a locus (Chojnowski et al., 2007), and this should be evident even if there are small regions of functional constraint within 3′-UTRs. Base composition also correlates with other evolutionary parameters (e.g., Whittall et al., 2006), so we would expect the patterns of molecular evolution to be similar within loci for sites not directly subject to constraint. However, we found differences between the 3′-UTRs and introns for most loci. In some cases loci differed in base composition, while in other cases loci differed in the best-fitting model of evolution. In contrast to the loci that differed between the 3′-UTR and intron, ALDOB exhibited very similar patterns for both data types. Overall, our results suggest that the two types of data generally exhibited different patterns of evolution, though we could not identify consistent differences among the five loci we examined other than the greater spatial variation in the 3′-UTRs.

Phylogenies generated from the 3′-UTR, intron, and combined datasets are largely congruent among each other and with other galliform phylogenies based on a variety of data types (Crowe et al., 2006; Cox et al., 2007; Kaiser et al., 2007; Kimball and Braun, 2008; Kriegers et al., 2007). However, there are some differences between the 3′-UTR and intron phylogenies. For instance, the position of C. japonica has differed among studies (Crowe et al., 2006; Cox et al., 2007; Kimball and Braun, 2008; Kriegers et al., 2007; Kaiser et al., 2007). Other relationships have been controversial or poorly supported, such as the relationship between Chrysolophus pictus and Lophura nycthemera or that between Argusianus argus and the clade containing Afropavo congensis and Pavo cristatus (Crowe et al., 2006; Kimball et al., 2001; Kimball and Braun, 2008). These small differences suggest that 3′-UTRs, although exhibiting different patterns of molecular evolution than introns, generally recover the same phylogenetic relationships.

Our data suggest that 3′-UTRs typically have better phylogenetic signal and slightly lower homoplasy than nuclear introns. These results are consistent with observations made using a single locus in crocodilians (Harshman et al., 2003), but the increased number of loci examined here suggests a more general phenomenon. Many 3′-UTRs are long enough to provide a substantial number of variable sites, yet short enough to amplify readily (see also Whittall et al., 2006). Like many introns, where it is possible to anchor PCR primers in conserved regions (the “exon-primed, intron-crossing” or “EPIC” strategy; Palumbi and Baker, 1994), 3′-UTR forward primers can be anchored in the last coding exons of genes. Reverse primers for 3′-UTRs typically must be anchored in a conserved non-coding sequence such as the polyadenylation sequence. While the core polyadenylation signal is short and AT-rich, it is typically embedded in a longer conserved region that can be used for a reverse primer. However, only two 3′-UTRs (ALDOB and HMG2) amplified in other avian orders, and on average the 3′-UTRs were more difficult to amplify than their associated introns in Galliformes, suggesting limited conservation of some priming sites. These results are similar to Whittall et al. (2006), where amplification success of 3′-UTRs decreased outside of the target genus. The difficulty associated with the amplification of 3′-UTRs from diverse organisms is likely to be the primary drawback to the use of 3′-UTRs in phylogenetic studies. Nonetheless, when robust primers are available (which can be established by conducting test PCRs in appropriately chosen target taxa) the desirable characteristics of 3′-UTRs indicate that they should be considered as a phylogenetic tool in vertebrates in addition to (and possibly even instead of) nuclear introns.
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